

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,006	03/06/2001	James C. Rush	STE01 P-1086	5256
277	7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
PRICE HEI 695 KENMO	NEVELD COOPER DI	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
P O BOX 25	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RA	GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			
		DATE MAII ED: 12/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				^			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/800,006	RUSH ET AL.	RUSH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Yvonne M. Horton	3635				
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	nddress			
A SHORTE THE MAIL  - Extensions of after SIX (6)  - If the period  - If NO period  - Failure to re Any reply re-	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory popy within the set or extended period for reply will, by serived by the Office later than three months after the rint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON: FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m n. a reply within the statutory minimum o eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on (	09 August 2004.					
2a)⊠ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	f Claims						
4a) C 5)⊠ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>30-99</u> is/are pending in the applic of the above claim(s) is/are with n(s) <u>30-89 and 95-99</u> is/are allowed. n(s) <u>90-93</u> is/are rejected. n(s) <u>94</u> is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration					
Application P	apers						
9)∏ The s	specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	cant may not request that any objection to			,			
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the co path or declaration is objected to by th			` '			
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119	*					
a) <u></u> All 1.⊟ 2.⊟ 3.⊟	owledgment is made of a claim for for b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)		_					
2) Notice of Di 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI )/Mail Date	8) Paper B/08) 5) ☐ Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (P : <u>see attached exhibit</u> .	TO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 90-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #3,513,606 to JONES. JONES discloses the use of a furniture system including a plurality of vertical posts (2) having an X-shape, see figure 22, defining four or more mutually perpendicular, outwardly extending flanges (as at 84) each having at least one vertical slot (SL), a lower portion (18) abuttingly supported on a floor surface (F) and an upper portion (UP) connected to an associated plurality of overhead beams (36) by a plurality of beam-to-post connectors (47,67) attached at opposite ends of the beams (36) and being detachable retained within the slots (SL) of the posts (2), see the marked attachment. Regarding claim 91, the syst4em further includes at least one partition accessory (79) having a mount portion (MP) detachably retained in the slot (SL) of the posts (2), see the marked attachment. In reference to claim 92, each beam (36) includes an uppermost and lowermost face with a T-shaped slot (37), column 4, lines 65-70, and the one beam-to-post connector (47,67) includes key portions (82) detachably retained in slot (37). Regarding claim 93, the slots (37) and (SL) extending longitudinally in the same direction and are equally sized and shaped.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30-89 and 95-99 are allowed.

Page 3

Claim 94 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the applicant's argument that JONES does not teach a post and beam type of furniture system or overhead beams to office space, but teaches rather a standard height partition system; the examiner disagrees in that JONES clearly shows a beam (36) connected to a post (2) that accommodates office furniture (79).

Regarding the applicant's argument that the post of JONES is square, the examiner agrees, but the examiner disagrees the JONES does not incorporate outwardly extending flanges. JONES teaches flanges, as at 84, that extend outwardly from the center (83) and include a slot (SL) that is T-shaped.

In reference to the slot not being at the end faces, although the slot of JONES is continuous, the slot is still disposed at the upper and lower ends of the posts.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/800,006

Art Unit: 3635

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YMH

Art Unit 3635

12/27/04

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

# EXAMINER'S ATTACHMENT

May 26, 1970

V. H. JONES

3,513,606

STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORK AND CONNECTOR JOINT THEREFOR

Filed Feb. 21, 1968

5 Sheets-Sheet 4

